USE VARIANCE APPLICATION

TO: TOWN OF ROYALTON ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS 5316 ROYALTON CENTER RD., MIDDLEPORT, NY 14105 FEE: \$125.00, PAYABLE TO TOWN CLERK

STATEMENT OF OWNERSHIP/INTEREST	IG (A DE TIVE ON A IED (G)
THE APPLICANT(S)	IS/ARE THE OWNER(S)
OF PROPERTY SITUATED AT THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS:	
THE APPLICANT(S) RESIDENCE ADDRESS, IF DIFFERENT, IS:	
DISTRICT ZONED: [] RESIDENTIAL [] MULT. RESIDENTIAL [] AGRICULT	URAL [] BUSINESS
[] LIGHT INDUSTRIAL [] GENERAL INDUSTRIAL	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a
THE ABOVE PROPERTY [IS IS NOT LOCATED WITHIN A COUNTY AC	GRICULTURAL DISTRICT OR
WITHIN 500 FEET OF A FARM OPERATION LOCATED IN AN AGRICULTU	
AGRICULTURAL & MARKETS LAW ARTICLE 25AA). IF "YES": (1) LIST B	
THE NAME/ADDRESS OF ALL OWNERS OF LANDS CONTAINING ANY FA	
AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT, WHICH LANDS ARE LOCATED WITHIN 500 I	
PROPERTY; AND (2) SUBMIT MAP (TAX MAP SUITABLE) OF THE SITE O	
RELATIVE TO THE LOCATION OF SUCH FARM OPERATIONS.	
REQUEST	
THE APPLICANT APPEALS TO THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS FOR A	USE VARIANCE FROM THE
APPLICABLE USE PROVISIONS OF THE ROYALTON ZONING ORDINANC	E FOR THE FOLLOWING
PURPOSES (DESCRIBE INTENDED USE OF LAND):	
REASONS FOR REQUEST	
THE APPLICANT HAVING BEEN UNABLE TO OBTAIN THE NECESSARY	PERMIT APPROVAL ALLEGES:
1. THE STRICT APPLICATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF THE ZONING OR	
UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP INCONSISTENT WITH THE GENERAL PU	
ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE TOWN OF ROYALTON IN THAT THE P	
REASONABLE RETURN IF USED FOR ANY PRESENTLY ALLOWABLE	E PURPOSE.
2. THE GRANTING OF SUCH USE VARIANCE WILL NOT BE A SUBSTAN	ITIAL DETRIMENT TO THE
PUBLIC INTEREST OR TO THE PROPERTY OR IMPROVEMENTS IN SU	JCH DISTRICT IN WHICH THE
EXCEPTION IS SOUGHT, AND WILL NOT MATERIALLY IMPAIR THE	PURPOSE OF THE ZONING
ORDINANCE OF THE TOWN OF ROYALTON BECAUSE (BRIEFLY DES	SCRIBE THE HARDSHIP
INVOLVED AND ANY OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION TO SUPPO	RT YOUR REQUEST):
APPLICANT(S) SIGN/DATE:	
ALLECANT(S) SIGN/DATE.	

- A. NO SUCH USE VARIANCE WILL BE GRANTED BY THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS WITHOUT A SHOWING BY THE APPLICANT THAT APPLICABLE ZONING REGULATIONS AND RESTRICTIONS HAVE CAUSED UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP. THE APPLICANT SHALL DEMONSTRATE TO THE BOARD THAT:
 - 1. UNDER APPLICABLE ZONING REGULATIONS, THE APPLICANT CANNOT REALIZE A REASONABLE RETURN FROM THE PROPERTY IN QUESTION, WHICH LACK OF RETURN IS SUBSTANTIAL, AS DEMONSTRATED BY COMPETENT FINANCIAL EVIDENCE.

NOTE

- 2. THAT THE ALLEGED HARDSHIP RELATING TO THE PROPERTY IN QUESTION IS UNIQUE, AND DOES NOT APPLY TO A SUBSTANTIAL PORTION OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD.
- 3. THAT THE REQUESTED USE VARIANCE, IF GRANTED, WILL NOT ALTER THE ESSENTIAL CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD.
- 4. THAT THE ALLEGED HARDSHIP HAS NOT BEEN SELF-CREATED.
- B. THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS HAS THE POWER TO IMPOSE REASONABLE CONDITIONS WHEN GRANTING USE VARIANCES.
- C. ANY USE VARIANCE GRANTED SHALL BE THE MINIMUM VARIANCE DEEMED NECESSARY AND ADEQUATE TO ADDRESS THE UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP PROVEN BY THE APPLICANT, AND AT THE SAME TIME PRESERVE AND PROTECT THE CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD, AND THE HEALTH, SAFETY AND WELFARE OF THE COMMUNITY.